## Stress-Strain Equation

Plane Stress and Plane Strain

## Equations

## The stiffness and compliance tensors [edit]

For hyperelastic materials, the stress and strain of a linear elastic material are such that one can be derived from a stored energy potential function of the other (also called a strain energy density function). Therefore, we can define an elastic material to be one which satisfies

$$
\sigma=\frac{\partial w(\varepsilon)}{\partial \varepsilon} \quad \text { or } \quad \sigma_{i j}=\frac{\partial w}{\partial \varepsilon_{i j}}
$$

where $w$ is the strain energy density function.
If the material, in addition to being elastic, also has a linear stress-strain relation then we can write

$$
\sigma=\mathbf{C}: \varepsilon \quad \text { or } \quad \sigma_{i j}=C_{i j k l} \varepsilon_{k l}
$$

The quantity $\mathbf{C}$ is called the stiffness tensor or the elasticity tensor.

Therefore, the strain energy density function has the form (this form is called a quadratic form)

$$
w(\varepsilon)=\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon: C: \varepsilon=\frac{1}{2} C_{i j k l} \varepsilon_{i j} \varepsilon_{k l}
$$

Clearly, the elasticity tensor has 81 components (think of a $9 \times 9$ matrix because the stresses and strains have nine components each). However, the symmetries of the stress tensor implies that

$$
C_{i j k l}=C_{j i k l}
$$

This reduces the number independent components of $C_{i j k l}$ to 54 ( 6 components for the $i j$ term and 3 each for the $k$, l terms.
Similarly, using the symmetry of the strain tensor we can show that

$$
C_{i j k l}=C_{i j k}
$$

These are called the minor symmetries of the elasticity tensor and we are then left with only 36 components that are independent.

Since the strain energy function should not change when we interchange $i j$ and $k$ l in the quadraic form, we must have

$$
C_{i j k l}=C_{k l i j}
$$

This reduces the number of independent consiantis to 21 (think of a symmetic $6 \times 6$ matrix). These are called the major symmetries of the sitiness tensor.
The inverse relaion between the strain and the stress can be determined by taxing the inverse of stress-strain relation to oet

$$
\varepsilon=S: \sigma \quad \text { or } \quad \varepsilon_{i j}=S_{i j k l} \sigma_{k l}
$$

where S is the compliance tensor. The compliance tensor also has 21 componenits and the same symmetries as the sitiness tensor.

## Voigt notation

To express the general stress-strain relation for a linear elastic material in terms of matrices we use what is called the Voigt notation. In this notation, the stress and strain are expressed as column vectors and the elasticity tensor is expressed as a symmetric matrix as shown below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{11} \\
\sigma_{22} \\
\sigma_{33} \\
\sigma_{23} \\
\sigma_{31} \\
\sigma_{12}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
C_{1111} & C_{1122} & C_{1133} & C_{1123} & C_{1131} & C_{1112} \\
C_{2211} & C_{2222} & C_{2233} & C_{2223} & C_{2231} & C_{2212} \\
C_{3311} & C_{3322} & C_{333} & C_{332} & C_{3331} & C_{3312} \\
C_{2311} & C_{2322} & C_{2333} & C_{233} & C_{2331} & C_{2312} \\
C_{311} & C_{3122} & C_{3133} & C_{312} & C_{3131} & C_{3112} \\
C_{1211} & C_{1222} & C_{123} & C_{1223} & C_{1231} & C_{1212}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon_{22} \\
\varepsilon_{33} \\
2 \varepsilon_{23} \\
2 \varepsilon_{31} \\
2 \varepsilon_{12}
\end{array}\right]} \\
& \text { or } \\
& \sigma=\mathbf{C} \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

The inverse relation is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon_{11} \\
\varepsilon_{22} \\
\varepsilon_{33} \\
2 \varepsilon_{23} \\
2 \varepsilon_{31} \\
2 \varepsilon_{12}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
S_{1111} & S_{1122} & S_{1133} & 2 S_{1123} & 2 S_{1131} & 2 S_{1112} \\
S_{2211} & S_{2222} & S_{2233} & 2 S_{2223} & 2 S_{2231} & 2 S_{2212} \\
S_{3311} & S_{3322} & S_{3333} & 2 S_{3323} & 2 S_{3331} & 2 S_{3312} \\
2 S_{2311} & 2 S_{2322} & 2 S_{2333} & 4 S_{2323} & 4 S_{2331} & 4 S_{2312} \\
2 S_{3111} & 2 S_{3122} & 2 S_{3133} & 4 S_{3123} & 4 S_{3131} & 4 S_{3112} \\
2 S_{1211} & 2 S_{1222} & 2 S_{1233} & 4 S_{1223} & 4 S_{1231} & 4 S_{1212}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{11} \\
\sigma_{22} \\
\sigma_{33} \\
\sigma_{23} \\
\sigma_{31} \\
\sigma_{12}
\end{array}\right]
$$

or

$$
\varepsilon=\mathbf{S} \sigma
$$

We can show that

$$
\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{C}^{-1}
$$

## Isotropic materials <br> [edit]

We have already seen the matrix form of the stress-strain equation for isotropic linear elastic materials. In this case the stiffness tensor has only two independent components because every plane is a plane of elastic symmetry. In direct tensor notation

$$
\mathbf{C}=\lambda 1 \otimes 1+2 \mu \mathbf{I}
$$

where $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are the elastic constants that we defined before, 1 is the second-order identity tensor, and I is the symmetric fourth-order identity tensor. In index notation

$$
C_{i j k l}=\lambda \delta_{i j} \delta_{k l}+2 \mu \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}+\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}\right)
$$

One could alternatively express this equation in terms of:

- the Young's modulus () and the Poisson's ratio () or
- in terms of the bulk modulus () and the shear modulus () or
- any other combination of two independent elastic parameters.

In Voigt notation the expression for the stress-strain law for isotropic materials can be written as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{11} \\
\sigma_{22} \\
\sigma_{33} \\
\sigma_{23} \\
\sigma_{31} \\
\sigma_{12}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
C_{12} & C_{11} & C_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
C_{12} & C_{12} & C_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \left(C_{11}-C_{12}\right) / 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(C_{11}-C_{12}\right) / 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(C_{11}-C_{12}\right) / 2
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\varepsilon_{11} \\
\varepsilon_{22} \\
\varepsilon_{33} \\
2 \varepsilon_{23} \\
2 \varepsilon_{31} \\
2 \varepsilon_{12}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
C_{11}=\frac{E(1-\nu)}{(1+\nu)(1-2 \nu)} ; \quad C_{12}=\frac{E \nu}{(1+\nu)(1-2 \nu)} ;\left(C_{11}-C_{12}\right) / 2=\frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}=\mu
$$

The Voigt form of the strain-stress relation can be written as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon_{11} \\
\varepsilon_{22} \\
\varepsilon_{33} \\
2 \varepsilon_{23} \\
2 \varepsilon_{31} \\
2 \varepsilon_{12}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
S_{11} & S_{12} & S_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S_{12} & S_{11} & S_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S_{12} & S_{12} & S_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2\left(S_{11}-S_{12}\right) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\left(S_{11}-S_{12}\right) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\left(S_{11}-S_{12}\right)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{11} \\
\sigma_{22} \\
\sigma_{33} \\
\sigma_{23} \\
\sigma_{31} \\
\sigma_{12}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
S_{11}=\frac{1}{E} ; S_{12}=-\frac{\nu}{E} ; 2\left(S_{11}-S_{12}\right)=\frac{2(1+\nu)}{E}=\frac{1}{\mu} .
$$

The relations between various moduli are shown in the table below:

|  | $\mu, \nu$ | $\nu, \lambda$ | $\mu, \lambda$ | $K, \lambda$ | $\mu, E$ | $\mu, K$ | $\nu, E$ | $\nu, K$ | $K, E$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda$ | $\frac{2 \mu \nu}{1-2 \nu}$ | - | - | - | $\frac{\mu(E-2 \mu)}{3 \mu-E}$ | $K-\frac{2}{3} \mu$ | $\frac{E \nu}{(1+\nu)(1-2 \nu)}$ | $\frac{3 K \nu}{1+\nu}$ | $\frac{3 K(3 K-E)}{9 K-E}$ |
| $\mu$ | - | $\frac{\lambda(1-2 \nu)}{2 \nu}$ | - | $\frac{3}{2}(K-\lambda)$ | - | - | $\frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}$ | $\frac{3 K(1-2 \nu)}{2(1+\nu)}$ | $\frac{3 K E}{9 K-E}$ |
| $\nu$ | - | - | $\frac{\lambda}{2(\lambda+\mu)}$ | $\frac{\lambda}{3 K-\lambda}$ | $\frac{E-2 \mu}{2 \mu}$ | $\frac{3 K-2 \mu}{2(3 K+\mu)}$ | - | $\frac{3 K-E}{6 K}$ |  |
| $E$ | $2 \mu(1+\nu)$ | $\lambda(1+\nu)(1-2 \nu)$ <br> $\nu$ | $\mu(3 \lambda+2 \mu)$ <br> $\lambda+\mu$ | $9 K(K-\lambda)$ <br> $3 K-\lambda$ | - | $9 K \mu$ |  | 3 |  |
| $K$ | $\frac{2 \mu(1+\nu)}{3(1-2 \nu)}$ | $\frac{\lambda(1+\nu)}{3 \nu}$ | $\lambda+\frac{2}{3} \mu$ | - | $\frac{\mu E}{3(3 \mu-E)}$ | - | $\frac{E}{3(1-2 \nu)}$ |  |  |

In matrix form, Hooke's law for isotropic materials can be written as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon_{11} \\
\varepsilon_{22} \\
\varepsilon_{33} \\
2 \varepsilon_{23} \\
2 \varepsilon_{13} \\
2 \varepsilon_{12}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon_{11} \\
\varepsilon_{22} \\
\varepsilon_{33} \\
\gamma_{23} \\
\gamma_{13} \\
\gamma_{12}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{1}{E}\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & -\nu & -\nu & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\nu & 1 & -\nu & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\nu & -\nu & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2+2 \nu & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2+2 \nu & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2+2 \nu
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{11} \\
\sigma_{22} \\
\sigma_{33} \\
\sigma_{23} \\
\sigma_{13} \\
\sigma_{12}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\gamma_{i j}=2 \varepsilon_{i j}$ is the engineering shear strain. The inverse relation may be written as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{11} \\
\sigma_{22} \\
\sigma_{33} \\
\sigma_{23} \\
\sigma_{13} \\
\sigma_{12}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{E}{(1+\nu)(1-2 \nu)}\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1-\nu & \nu & \nu & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\nu & 1-\nu & \nu & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\nu & \nu & 1-\nu & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1-2 \nu}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1-2 \nu}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1-2 \nu}{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon_{11} \\
\varepsilon_{22} \\
\varepsilon_{33} \\
2 \varepsilon_{23} \\
2 \varepsilon_{13} \\
2 \varepsilon_{12}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## §5.5.2. Stress-To-Strain Relations

To get stresses if the strains are given, the most expedient method is to invert the matrix equation (5.16). This gives

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{x x}  \tag{5.17}\\
\sigma_{y y} \\
\sigma_{z z} \\
\tau_{x y} \\
\tau_{y z} \\
\tau_{z x}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
\hat{E}(1-v) & \hat{E} v & \hat{E} v & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hat{E} v & \hat{E}(1-v) & \hat{E} v & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hat{E} v & \hat{E} v & \hat{E}(1-v) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & G & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & G & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & G
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{x x} \\
\epsilon_{y y} \\
\epsilon_{z z} \\
\gamma_{x y} \\
\gamma_{y z} \\
\gamma_{z x}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Here $\hat{E}$ is an "effective" modulus modified by Poisson's ratio:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}=\frac{E}{(1-2 v)(1+v)} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

| Material | Poisson's ratio | References <br> [1] I. S. Sokolnikoff, Mathematical theory of elasticity. Krieger, Malabar FL, second edition, 1983. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Isotropic upper limit [1] | 0.5 | [2] A .M. James and M. P. Lord in Macmillan's Chemical and Physical Data, Macmillan, |
| Rubber [6] | 0.48-~0.5 | London, UK, 1992. |
| Indium [11] | 0.45 | [3] G.W.C. Kaye and T.H. Laby in Tables of physical and chemical constants, Longman, |
| Gold [4] | 0.42 | London, UK, 15th edition, 1993. |
| Lead [4] | 0.44 | [4] G.V. Samsonov (Ed.) in Handbook of the physicochemical properties of the elements, IFI- |
| Copper [7] | 0.37 | Plenum, New York, USA, 1968. |
| Aluminum [4] | 0.34 | [5] G. Simmons, and H. Wang, Single crystal elastic constants and calculated aggregate |
| Copper [4] | 0.35 | properties: a handbook, MIT Press, Cambridge, 2nd ed, 1971. |
| Polystyrene [6] | 0.34 | [6] J. A. Rinde, Poisson's ratio for rigid plastic foams, J. Applied Polymer Science, 14, 1913- |
| Brass [1] | 0.33 | 1926, 1970. |
| Ice [8] | 0.33 | [7] D. E. Gray, American Institute of Physics Handbook, 3rd ed., chapter 3, McGraw hill, New |
| Polystyrene foam [6] | 0.3 | York, 1973. |
| Stainless Steel [7] | 0.30 | [8] E. M. Schulson, The Structure and Mechanical Behavior of Ice, JOM, 51 (2) pp. 21-27, 1999. |
| Steel [1] | 0.29 | article link |
| Tungsten [4] | 0.30 | [9] H. H. Demarest, Jr., Cube resonance method to determine the elastic constants of solids, J. |
| Tungsten | 0.28 | Acoust. Soc. Am. 49, 768-775 (1971). |
| Fused quartz [9] | 0.17 | [10] R. S. Lakes, Foam structures with a Negative Poisson's ratio, Science, 235 1038-1040, |
| Boron [12] | 0.08 | 1987. |
| Beryllium [4] | 0.03 | [11] D. Li, T. M. Jaglinski, D. S. Stone, and R. S. Lakes, Temperature insensitive negative |
| Re-entrant foam [10] | -0.7 | Poisson's ratios in isotropic alloys near a morphotropic phase boundary, Appl. Phys. Lett, 101, |
| Isotropic lower limit [1] | -1 | 251903, Dec. (2012). <br> [12] K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., Physical Properties and Interrelationships of Metallic and Semimetallic Elements, Solid State Physics, 16, 275-426, 1964 |

## Plane Stress and Plane Strain



The two-dimensional element is extremely important for:
(1) Plane stress analysis, which includes problems such as plates with holes, fillets, or other changes in geometry that are loaded in their plane resulting in local stress concentrations.

(2) Plane strain analysis, which includes problems such as a long underground box culvert subjected to a uniform load acting constantly over its length or a long cylindrical control rod subjected to a load that remains constant over the rod length (or depth).


## Plane Stress

Plane stress is defined to be a state of stress in which the normal stress and the shear stresses directed perpendicular to the plane are assumed to be zero.

That is, the normal stress $\sigma_{z}$ and the shear stresses $\tau_{x z}$ and $\tau_{y z}$ are assumed to be zero.

Generally, members that are thin (those with a small $z$ dimension compared to the in-plane $x$ and $y$ dimensions) and whose loads act only in the $x-y$ plane can be considered to be under plane stress.

## Plane Strain Equations



## Plane Strain

Plane strain is defined to be a state of strain in which the strain normal to the $x-y$ plane $\varepsilon_{z}$ and the shear strains $\gamma_{x z}$ and $\gamma_{y z}$ are assumed to be zero.

The assumptions of plane strain are realistic for long bodies (say, in the $z$ direction) with constant cross-sectional area subjected to loads that act only in the $x$ and/or $y$ directions and do not vary in the $z$ direction.

## Two-Dimensional State of Stress and Strain

For plane stress, the stresses $\sigma_{z}, \tau_{x z}$, and $\tau_{y z}$ are assumed to be zero. The stress-strain relationship is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{x} \\
\sigma_{y} \\
\tau_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}=\frac{E}{1-v^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & v & 0 \\
v & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.5(1-v)
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon_{x} \\
\varepsilon_{y} \\
\gamma_{x y}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{x} \\
\sigma_{y} \\
\tau_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}=[D]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon_{x} \\
\varepsilon_{y} \\
\gamma_{x y}
\end{array}\right\} \quad[D]=\frac{E}{1-v^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & v & 0 \\
v & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.5(1-v)
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

is called the stress-strain matrix (or the constitutive matrix), $E$ is the modulus of elasticity, and $v$ is Poisson's ratio.

## Two-Dimensional State of Stress and Strain

For plane strain, the strains $\varepsilon_{z}, \gamma_{x z}$, and $\gamma_{y z}$ are assumed to be zero. The stress-strain relationship is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{x} \\
\sigma_{y} \\
\tau_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}=\frac{E}{(1+v)(1-2 v)}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1-v & v & 0 \\
v & 1-v & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.5-v
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon_{x} \\
\varepsilon_{y} \\
\gamma_{x y}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{x} \\
\sigma_{y} \\
\tau_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}=[D]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon_{x} \\
\varepsilon_{y} \\
\gamma_{x y}
\end{array}\right\} \quad[D]=\frac{E}{(1+v)(1-2 v)}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1-v & v & 0 \\
v & 1-v & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.5-v
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

is called the stress-strain matrix (or the constitutive matrix), $E$ is the modulus of elasticity, and $v$ is Poisson's ratio.

The limits of Poisson's ratio for isotropic solids possess fundamental significance. Shape is preserved at the lower limit of $v=-1$ (applicable for both 3D and 2 D ). Volume is preserved at the upper limit $v=1 / 2$ (for 3D) while area is preserved at the upper limit of $v=1$ (for 2D). It is now of interest, though not in a practical sense, to present the bounds of Poisson's ratio under 1D, 2D and 3D analyses as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
v=0 ; & d=1 \\
-1 \leq v \leq 1 ; & d=2  \tag{3.2.17}\\
-1 \leq v \leq 1 / 2 ; & d=3
\end{array}
$$

whereby $d=1,2,3$ refer to the number of dimensions. Of course the so-called "bound" for $d=1$ is not a bound but this has been included for the sake of completeness. Alternatively, the bounds for 2D and 3D can be combined to give

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
v=0 ; & d=1 \\
-1 \leq v \leq \frac{1}{d-1} ; & d=2,3 . \tag{3.2.18}
\end{array}
$$

In addition to the Poisson's ratio bounds based on 3D analysis, it is possible to obtain the Poisson's ratio bounds for 2D. The upper bound of Poisson's ratio for 2D case can be performed either on the basis of plane strain or plane stress. In addition to $\sigma_{i j}=-p ;(i=j)$ and $\sigma_{i j}=0 ;(i \neq j)$ for hydrostatic pressure, the plane strain condition requires that $e_{33}=0$. Of course the plane strain condition also implies $e_{23}=e_{31}=0$ but these have no effect on our calculation. From Hooke's Law in 2D,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{11}=e_{22} \propto \frac{p}{E}(v-1) \tag{3.2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $e_{11}=e_{22} \leq 0$ due to the hydrostatic pressure and $E \geq 0$, we have $v-1 \leq 0$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \leq 1 \tag{3.2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, the imposition of $e_{11}=e_{22} \leq 0$ arising from hydrostatic pressure and $E \geq 0$ leads to Eq. (3.2.14). Whether by plane strain $\left(e_{33}=0\right)$ or by plane stress $\left(\sigma_{33}=0\right)$, the strain energy for 2D analysis is common

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \propto \frac{p^{2}}{E}(1-v) \tag{3.2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $\sigma_{33} e_{33}=0$ for both cases under hydrostatic pressure. On the basis of $U \geq 0$ and $E \geq 0$, Eq. (3.2.14) is recovered for 2D analysis. Practically, the assumption of plane strain is more plausible since it is not possible to impose plane stress condition under hydrostatic pressure. The lower limit for the Poisson's ratio in 2D analysis is similar to that of 3D, because the condition of simple shear has only one stress component $\sigma_{23}=\tau$ regardless of 3D or 2D analyses.

